

THE CAUSE OF HOMOSEXUALITY, FETISHISM, SADOMASOCHISM AND BISEXUALITY

HOMOSEXUALITY

The *underlying basis* of homosexuality, regardless of whether it is male homosexuality or female lesbianism is as follows.

*Something is being provided by a member of the same sex which a member of the opposite sex is **incapable** of providing.*

In order to expound as to how this constitutes the underlying basis of homosexuality, our preliminary analysis will be confined to male homosexuality. The particular influence which is established in boyhood and induces homosexuality is as follows. A young boy undergoes some type of experience which induces an anal orgasm. The reader should not misinterpret this statement. This does *not* entail the boy actually experiencing anal sex (or in the case of a young boy, rape). I am oblivious as to what the experience could be as I myself am not homosexual. However, one of Freud's case histories may provide a modicum of illumination as to an example of this type of experience.

The example pertains to little Hans. He had a rocking horse as a toy. He had discovered that he could use the horse as a masturbation tool. When his father became cognizant of this, he threatened to cut off the boy's "widdler". The father then asked Hans what will you widdle with then? The boy responded, with my bottom. This would be one example of a boy discovering something which induced anal orgasm. As far as he was concerned, he was simply engaging in an activity which induced pleasurable sensations. Consequently, he would repeat the experience over a period of time. Consequently, the desire for an anal orgasm would be established (or perhaps more appropriately, embedded) within the realm of the unconscious mind.

If the reader considers the (*unconscious*) desire for an anal orgasm and the *underlying* cause of homosexuality (as outlined in this paper), you should be able to come to the realization of what causes homosexuality within males. A female is *incapable* of providing him with his unconscious desire. Only another male can provide him with what he (unconsciously) seeks. Since an influence which is established on an unconscious level will affect behaviour, a male who has experienced anal orgasm as a child will only be attracted to his own sex.

If the reader is prone to jump to conclusions, there may be a tendency to immediately dismiss this theory. This is for the simple reason that it would not even begin to explain female lesbianism. Any theory of homosexuality which is to be initially viewed as viable should be capable of explaining both male homosexuality *and* female lesbianism. The reader must be cognizant of the following. The *underlying* cause of male and female homosexuality is the same (something is being provided by a member of the same sex which a member of the opposite sex is incapable of providing). However, since men and women are different, the *exact* element being provided by one man to

another man will be drastically different with what one woman provides to another woman. This fact will prevail even though the underlying cause is the same.

An analysis of female lesbianism is as follows. A young girl undergoes some type of experience which induces vaginal penetration (again, this does *not* entail sex or rape). She finds the experience unpleasant, uncomfortable, or even painful. It would be this specific influence which would be the root of her lesbianism. One differentiating factor between the cause of male homosexuality and female lesbianism is as follows. By virtue of the fact that a boy's experience is pleasurable, he will engage in the particular activity on a repeated basis. However, since a girl's experience is unpleasant (or possibly even painful), a "one time event" would suffice to establish a particular influence on an unconscious level. The specific influence which becomes established within her unconscious mind is as follows. *The avoidance of vaginal penetration.* Although this influence is established on an unconscious level, she still desires physical affection. Consequently, the influence which is affecting her behaviour is as follows. The desire to experience physical affection while simultaneously having an absolute and unequivocal guarantee of not experiencing vaginal penetration. A man is incapable of providing her with this. Even if there was a man who was committed to maintaining virginity until marriage, this still would not suffice to provide a lesbian with what she desires. This is for the simple reason that if there was a bond of love between them, upon marriage sex would commence. Consequently, even a man committed to virginity until marriage would not provide an absolute guarantee to the lesbian of not experiencing vaginal penetration while simultaneously providing physical affection. Only another woman can provide this.

There is a scintilla of evidence to suggest that this theory of lesbianism may be accurate. There have been times when various television programs have presented shows pertaining to homosexuality. There have been lesbians who, in explaining why they prefer women to men, have stated that there was a softness there that they just didn't find in men. This statement is of a highly ambiguous nature and could potentially mean anything. However, one possible interpretation of it is as follows. In relation to lesbian lovers, they do not experience the unpleasant experience of direct vaginal penetration (as they did in their childhood) as they do with men. This would explain the utilization of the word softness in their statement. However, as already stated, the statement is of a highly ambiguous nature and could potentially be interpreted in a myriad of different ways.

Unlike male homosexuality, this theory of lesbianism is testable. If a lesbian were to become involved with a eunuch (let's say a man who has had his testicles removed as a result of testicular cancer), she should feel the same level of attraction that she feels to another woman. However, for this to constitute a fair experiment, certain preconditions must be in place. Firstly, since she seeks an *absolute and unequivocal guarantee* of not experiencing vaginal penetration, it is not sufficient to merely inform her of the fact that he is a eunuch. She must see it with her own eyes. At that stage, it would be best to wait for a period of a few days so that it is fully appreciated (within the realm of the unconscious mind) that he is incapable of erection (or to be more specific, vaginal penetration). Furthermore, it would not be a fair test if the man was completely devoid of physical appeal. He must be of at least average physical appeal. If all of these

circumstances prevailed, then in principle she should feel the same level of attraction that she feels towards another woman if they were to start dating.

If the aetiology just outlined is correct, there would be some vitally important ramifications. If homosexuality is the result of an unconscious influence, then *properly implemented* psychotherapy can eliminate this influence from the realm of the unconscious mind. Upon the elimination of this influence, a specific result will be attained. Namely, homosexuality can be cured. However, this is entirely contingent upon the homosexual *wanting* to be cured. If the homosexual has no desire to make this transition, then there's very little that can be done.

FETISHISM

Fetishism is the result of predicate thinking.* Psychiatrists and psychoanalysts may find this to be somewhat curious. However, these readers should keep the following uppermost in mind. When dealing with predicate thinking, the correlating factor(s) is of an *extraordinarily* subtle nature. An example pertains to the very common (in so far as a myriad of people are cognizant of it) fetish of foot fetishism. How could predicate thinking constitute an exposition of this phenomenon? To be more specific, how could there possibly be any type of correlation between the female foot and anything which is even remotely sexual? An analysis is as follows.

A young child undergoes some type of experience which induces orgasm (not anal orgasm as in the case of male homosexuality). As in the analysis of homosexuality, this does not pertain to rape or actual sex, but some type of (unknown) activity which induces orgasm. During the experience, the child compares the feeling to a ticklish feeling. At this stage, the reader should give intensive contemplation to the following. Since predicate thinking is the result of an *extraordinarily* subtle correlation, what part of the body (which the fetishist is capable of *focusing* upon) is ticklish? This would obviously be the female foot. Consequently, as a result of predicate thinking, the female foot is (unconsciously) viewed in the same capacity as genitalia.

If the reader is prepared to give consideration to this theory, you may feel that one particular anomaly appears in the theory. If the orgasm is compared to a ticklish feeling in one's childhood, shouldn't this manifest itself as a tickling fetish instead? I myself was curious about this. Consequently, I implemented a brief search upon the internet to assess if any germane information appeared. There are producers of pornographic videos which produce videos about women being tickled. Logic dictates the following. Since these videos are being produced, there must be a demand for them. Since there is a demand, then this fetish must be real. However, I am oblivious as to what would cause one person to experience a foot fetish whereas the other experiences a tickling fetish.

SADOMASOCHISM

* This is a psychiatric term which the interested lay reader will have to find the meaning to in a psychiatric text. Furthermore, the lay reader is advised *against* attempting to comprehend this theory of fetishism without first learning the meaning of predicate thinking. Any attempt to do so will only result in confusion.

Like the other two sexual deviations that have been outlined, a child undergoes some type of sexual experience in early childhood which does *not* entail rape or actual sex. However, the differentiating factor is as follows. Whatever type of experience stimulates the genitals to orgasm, this experience *simultaneously* causes pain. Consequently, on an unconscious level, pain becomes integrally associated with sexual pleasure. Therefore, in the mind of the sadist and the masochist, physical pain results in sexual arousal. However, what exactly would induce sadism in one individual and masochism in another remains to be seen.

BISEXUALITY

The three previous theories were developed as the result of a deductive and logical analysis. Bisexuality has completely defied all my attempts at a logical analysis. I cannot even begin to fathom what would *naturally* cause an individual to be attracted to *both* men and women. Therefore, here is my best guess.

An id dominated person is simply curious as to what a sexual encounter with a member of the same sex would be like.* They find a willing partner. For some strange reason, they find it gratifying (or at the very least, intriguing). Consequently, they wish to continue. To put it succinctly, bisexuality is a conscious choice, *not* an unconscious manifestation which was induced as the result of a particular type of sexual experience from early childhood.

* The id is a psychiatric term.